# U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE SPECIES ASSESSMENT AND LISTING PRIORITY ASSIGNMENT FORM

SCIENTIFIC NAME: Psychotria hobdyi
COMMON NAME: Kopiko
LEAD REGION: Region 1
INFORMATION CURRENT AS OF: August 2005
STATUS/ACTION
Species assessment - determined species did not meet the definition of endangered or threatened under the Act and, therefore, was not elevated to Candidate status New candidate
X Continuing candidate
Non-petitioned
_X Petitioned - Date petition received: May 11, 2004 90-day positive - FR date:
X 12-month warranted but precluded - FR date: May 11,2005
N Did the petition request a reclassification of a listed species?
FOR PETITIONED CANDIDATE SPECIES:
<ul><li>a. Is listing warranted (if yes, see summary of threats below)? <u>yes</u></li><li>b. To date, has publication of a proposal to list been precluded by other higher priority</li></ul>
listing actions? <u>yes</u>
c. If the answer to a. and b. is "yes", provide an explanation of why the action is
precluded. We find that the immediate issuance of a proposed rule and timely
promulgation of a final rule for this species has been, for the preceding 12 months, and
continues to be, precluded by higher priority listing actions. During the past 12 months,
most of our national listing budget has been consumed by work on various listing actions
to comply with court orders and court-approved settlement agreements, meeting statutory
deadlines for petition findings or listing determinations, emergency listing evaluations
and determinations and essential litigation-related, administrative, and program
management tasks. We will continue to monitor the status of this species as new
information becomes available. This review will determine if a change in status is
warranted, including the need to make prompt use of emergency listing procedures. For
information on listing actions taken over the past 12 months, see the discussion of
"Progress on Revising the Lists," in the current CNOR which can be viewed on our
Internet website (http://endangered.fws.gov).
Listing priority change Former LP:
New LP:
Date when the species first became a Candidate (as currently defined): 1997
Candidate removal: Former LP:
A – Taxon is more abundant or widespread than previously believed or not subject to

the degree of threats sufficie	nt to warrant issuance of a proposed listing or
continuance of candidate sta	tus.
U – Taxon not subject to the de	gree of threats sufficient to warrant issuance of a
proposed listing or continual	nce of candidate status due, in part or totally, to
conservation efforts that rem	ove or reduce the threats to the species.
F – Range is no longer a U.S. to	rritory.
I – Insufficient information exist	sts on biological vulnerability and threats to support
listing.	
M – Taxon mistakenly included	in past notice of review.
N – Taxon does not meet the A	ct's definition of "species."
X – Taxon believed to be extino	et.

ANIMAL/PLANT GROUP AND FAMILY: Flowering plants, Rubiaceae (Coffee family)

HISTORICAL STATES/TERRITORIES/COUNTRIES OF OCCURRENCE: Hawaii, island of Kauai

CURRENT STATES/ COUNTIES/TERRITORIES/COUNTRIES OF OCCURRENCE: Hawaii, island of Kauai

LAND OWNERSHIP: State of Hawaii.

LEAD REGION CONTACT: Paul Phifer, 503-872-2823, paul\_phifer@fws.gov

LEAD FIELD OFFICE CONTACT: Pacific Islands Fish and Wildlife Office, Christa Russell, 808-792-9400, christa\_russell@fws.gov

#### **BIOLOGICAL INFORMATION:**

<u>Species Description</u> *Psychotria hobdyi* is a tree up to 8 meters (m) (26 feet (ft)) tall, with grayish brown branches. Leaves are membranous to leathery, oblanceolate, and pink or rose-colored on the lower surface. The lower leaf surface is glabrous to somewhat pubescent with small or absent domatia. Flowers are functionally unisexual. This species is distinguishable from *Psycohtria grandiflora*, to which it is closely related, by the nature of the inflorescence and leaves. The inflorescence of *P. hobdyi* has a very small peduncle compared to that of *P. grandiflora*, and the leaves are membranous and much larger than those usually found in the latter (Wagner *et al.* 1999a).

<u>Taxonomy</u> *Psychotria hobdyi* was described by Sohmer. This species is recognized as a distinct taxon in Wagner *et al.* (1999a) and Wagner and Herbst (2003), the most recently accepted Hawaiian plant taxonomy.

<u>Habitat</u> Typical habitat is mesic forest at an elevation of approximately 600 m (2,000 ft). This species is found on the western side of the island of Kauai (Steve Perlman, National Tropical Botanical Garden, Robert Hobdy, Hawaii Division of Forestry and Wildlife, pers. comms. 1995; Wagner *et al.* 1999a; Ken Wood, National Tropical Botanical Garden, pers. comm. 1999).

<u>Historical and Current Range/Current Status</u> This species is known from three populations of approximately 85 individuals (S. Perlman, R. Hobdy, pers. comms. 1995; K. Wood pers. comms. 1999 and 2000).

# THREATS:

A. The present or threatened destruction, modification, or curtailment of its habitat or range. This species is highly and imminently threatened by feral goats (*Capra hircus*) that adversely modify habitat (S. Perlman and R. Hobdy, pers. comms. 1995). As early as 1778, European explorers introduced livestock, which became feral, increased in number and range, and caused significant changes to the natural environment of Hawaii. Past and present activities of introduced alien mammals are the primary factor altering and degrading vegetation and habitats on Kauai. The goat, a species originally native to the Middle East and India, was successfully introduced to the Hawaiian Islands in 1792. Currently populations exist on Kauai, Oahu, Maui, and Hawaii. No known conservation measures have been taken to date to address this threat.

Although many plant species survive on steep cliffs inaccessible to goats, the original range of these plants was probably much larger. The habitats of many of the plants were damaged in the past by goats, and these effects are still apparent in the form of alien vegetation and erosion (Corn *et al.* 1979; Clarke and Cuddihy 1980; van Riper and van Riper 1982; Scott *et al.* 1986; Culliney 1988). No known conservation measures have been taken to date to address this threat.

B. Overutilization for commercial, recreational, scientific, or educational purposes. None known.

# C. Disease or predation.

None known.

# D. The inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms.

Goats are managed in Hawaii as game animals, but many herds populate inaccessible areas where hunting is difficult, if not impossible, and therefore has little effect on their numbers. Goat hunting is allowed on all islands either year-round or during certain months, depending on the area (Hawaii Department of Lands and Natural Resources n.d.-a, n.d. b, n.d.-c, n.d.-d). However, public hunting does not adequately control the number of ungulates to eliminate this threat to native plant species. No other known conservation measures have been taken to date to address this threat.

#### E. Other natural or manmade factors affecting its continued existence.

*Psychotria hobdyi* is threatened by alien plant species (S. Perlman and R. Hobdy, pers. comms. 1995). The original native vascular flora of Hawaii consisted of about 1,400 species, nearly 90 percent of which were endemic. Of the total native and naturalized Hawaiian flora of 1,817 taxa, 47 percent were introduced from other parts of the world, and nearly 100 species have become pests (Smith 1985; Wagner *et al.* 1999a). Several studies (Cuddihy and Stone 1990; Wood and Perlman 1997; Robichaux et al. 1998) indicate nonnative plant species may outcompete native plants similar to *Psychotria hobdyi*. Competition may be for space, light, water or nutrients, or

there may be a chemical inhibition of other plants (Smith 1985; Cuddihy and Stone 1990). In addition, nonnative pest plants found in habitat similar to that of this species have been shown to make the habitat less suitable for native species (Smathers and Gardner 1978; Smith 1985; Medeiros *et al.* 1992; Loope and Medeiros 1992; Ellshoff *et al.* 1995; Meyer and Florence 1996; Medeiros *et al.* 1997; Loope *et al.* 2004). In particular, alien pest plant species modify habitat by modifying availability of light, altering soil-water regimes, modifying nutrient cycling, or altering fire characteristics of native plant communities (Smith 1985; Cuddihy and Stone 1990; Vitousek *et al.* 1987). Because of demonstrated habitat modification and resource competition by nonnative plant species in habitat similar to that of *Psychotria hobdyi* the Service believes nonnative plant species are a threat to this species. No known conservation measures have been taken to date to address this threat.

In addition, species like *Psychotria hobdyi* that are endemic to single small islands are inherently more vulnerable to extinction than widespread species because of the higher risks posed to a few populations and individuals by genetic bottlenecks, random demographic fluctuations and localized catastrophes such as hurricanes. When considered on their own, the natural processes associated with being a single island endemic and the habitat perturbation caused by hurricanes do not affect *P. hobdyi* to such a degree that it is threatened or endangered with extinction in the foreseeable future, but these natural processes can exacerbate the threat from anthropogenic factors, such as habitat loss for human development or predation by nonnative species. No known conservation measures have been taken to date to address this threat.

# CONSERVATION MEASURES PLANNED OR IMPLEMENTED None known.

# **SUMMARY OF THREATS**

The major threats to this species include feral goats that degrade and destroy habitat, nonnative plants that compete for light and nutrients, and reduced reproductive vigor and stochastic extinction due to stochastic events, which are believed to be a major cause of the decline of this species throughout its range. With only three populations of 85 individuals remaining, reduced reproductive vigor and stochastic extinction due to stochastic events, such as hurricanes and landslides, are also threats. No conservation efforts have been initiated to date.

### LISTING PRIORITY

MagnitudeImmediacyTaxonomyPriorityHighImminentMonotypic genus Species Subspecies/population Monotypic genus Species Subspecies/population1 2* 3 4 Species Subspecies/population	THREAT			
Species 2* Subspecies/population 3 Monotypic genus 4 Species 5	Magnitude	Immediacy	Taxonomy	Priority
	High		Species Subspecies/population Monotypic genus Species	3 4 5

Moderate	Imminent	Monotypic genus	7
to Low		Species	8
		Subspecies/population	9
	Non-imminent	Monotypic genus	10
		Species	11
		Subspecies/population	12

#### **Rationale for listing priority number:**

# Magnitude:

This species is highly threatened by feral goats that degrade and destroy habitat, nonnative plants that compete for light and nutrients, and reduced reproductive vigor and stochastic extinction due to stochastic events. Threats to the mesic forest habitat of *Psychotria hobdyi* occur throughout its range and are expected to continue or increase without their control or eradication. No conservation efforts have been initiated to date.

#### Imminence:

Threats to *Psychotria hobdyi* from feral goats, nonnative plants, and reduced reproductive vigor are considered imminent because they are ongoing.

<u>Yes</u> Have you promptly reviewed all of the information received regarding the species for the purpose of determining whether emergency listing is needed?

Is Emergency Listing Warranted? No. The species does not appear to be appropriate for emergency listing at this time because the immediacy of the threats is not so great as to imperil a significant proportion of the taxon within the time frame of the routine listing process. If it becomes apparent that the routine listing process is not sufficient to prevent large losses that may result in this species' extinction, then the emergency rule process for this species will be initiated. We will continue to monitor the status of *Psychotria hobdyi* as new information becomes available. This review will determine if a change in status is warranted, including the need to make prompt use of emergency listing procedures.

#### **DESCRIPTION OF MONITORING:**

The information in this form is based on the results of a meeting of 20 botanical experts held by the Center for Plant Conservation in December of 1995, and was updated by personal communication with Steve Perlman and Ken Wood of the National Tropical Botanical Garden and Robert Hobdy of Hawaii's Division of Forestry and Wildlife. We have incorporated additional information on this species from our files and the most recent supplement to the *Manual of the Flowering Plants of Hawaii* (Wagner and Herbst 2003). In 2004 the Pacific Islands office contacted the following species experts: Bob Hobdy, retired from Hawaii Division of Forestry and Wildlife; Joel Lau, Hawaii Natural Heritage Program; Art Medeiros, U.S.G.S. Biological Resources Discipline; Hank Oppenheimer, resource manager for Maui Land and Pineapple Company; and Steve Perlman and Ken Wood, National Tropical Botanical Garden. No new information was provided in 2004. In 2005 we contacted the species experts listed below, but received no new information on this taxon.

The Hawaii Natural Heritage Program identified this species as critically imperiled (Hawaii Natural Heritage Program Database 2004). Based on the International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources Red Plant Data Book rarity categories, this species is recognized as Rare (could be considered at risk) (Wagner *et al.* 1999b).

Species experts were contacted but did not provide new information this year, no new literature was found, and no known entities are studying this species. However, it is highly likely that the previously reported threats continue to impact the species at the same or an increased level.

#### COORDINATION WITH STATES

In October 2004 we provided the Hawaii Division of Forestry and Wildlife with copies of our most recent candidate assessments for their review and comment. Vickie Caraway, the State botanist, reviewed the information for this species and provided no additional information or corrections (V. Caraway, pers. comm. 2005).

#### LITERATURE CITED

List all experts contacted:

Name	Date	Place of Employment
1. Joel Lau	June 28, 2005	Hawaii Natural Heritage Program
2. Art Medeiros	June 28, 2005	U.S.G.S. Biological Resources Discipline
3. Jim Jacobi	June 28, 2005	U.S.G.S. Biological Resources Discipline
4. Rick Warshauer	June 28, 2005	U.S.G.S. Biological Resources Discipline
5. Hank Oppenheimer	June 28, 2005	Maui Land and Pineapple Company
6. Kapua Kawelo	June 28, 2005	U.S. Army
7. Dave Lorence	June 28, 2005	National Tropical Botanical Garden
8. Steve Perlman	June 28, 2005	National Tropical Botanical Garden
9. Ken Wood	June 28, 2005	National Tropical Botanical Garden
10. Marie Bruegmann	July 13, 2005	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
11. Vickie Caraway	June 14, 2005	Hawaii Division of Forestry and Wildlife

#### List all databases searched:

Name Date

1. Hawaii Natural Heritage Program 2004

#### Other resources utilized:

Center for Biological Diversity, Dr. Jane Goodall, Dr. E.O. Wilson, Dr. Paul Ehrlich, Dr. John Terborgh, Dr. Niles Eldridge, Dr. Thomas Eisner, Dr. Robert Hass, Barbara Kingsolver, Charles Bowden, Martin Sheen, the Xerces Society, and the Biodiversity Conservation Alliance. 2004. Hawaiian Plants: petitions to list as federally endangered species. May 4, 2004.

Clarke, G., and L.W. Cuddihy. 1980. A botanical reconnaissance of the Na Pali coast trail: Kee Beach to Kalalau Valley (April 9-11, 1980). Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Department of Land and Natural Resources, Hilo, Hawaii.

Corn, C.A., G. Clarke, L. Cuddihy, and L. Yoshida. 1979. A botanical reconnaissance of

- Kalalau, Honopu, Awaawapuhi, Nualolo and Milolii Valleys and shorelines--Na Pali, Kauai. Unpublished report. Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Department of Land and Natural Resources, Endangered Species Program, Honolulu. 14 pp.
- Cuddihy, L.W., and C.P. Stone. 1990. Alteration of native Hawaiian vegetation; effects of humans, their activities and introductions. Coop. Natl. Park Resources Stud. Unit, Hawaii. 138 pp.
- Culliney, J.L. 1988. Islands in a far sea; nature and man in Hawaii. Sierra Club Books, San Francisco. 410 pp.
- Ellshoff, Z.E., D.E. Gardner, C. Wikler, and C.W. Smith. 1995. Annotated bibliography of the genus *Psidium*, with emphasis on *P. cattleianum* (strawberry guava) and *P. guajava* (common guava), forest weeds in Hawai'i. Cooperative National Park Resources Studies Unit, University of Hawaii. Technical Report 95.
- Hawaii, Department of Land and Natural Resources. N.d.-a. Summary of Title 13, Chapter 123, Game mammal hunting rules, island of Oahu. Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Honolulu. 2 pp.
- Hawaii, Department of Land and Natural Resources. N.d.-b. Summary of Title 13, Chapter 123, Game mammal hunting rules, island of Molokai. Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Honolulu. 2 pp.
- Hawaii, Department of Land and Natural Resources. N.d.-c. Summary of Title 13, Chapter 123, Game mammal hunting rules, island of Maui. Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Honolulu. 2 pp.
- Hawaii, Department of Land and Natural Resources. N.d.-d. Summary of Title 13, Chapter 123, Game mammal hunting rules, island of Kauai. Division of Forestry and Wildlife, Honolulu.
- Hawaii Heritage Program, The Nature Conservancy of Hawaii. 1990. Management recommendations for Na Pali Coast State Park, island of Kauai. Unpublished report prepared for Hawaii, Department of Land and Natural Resources, Division of State Parks, Honolulu. 18 pp.
- Hawaii Natural Heritage Program. 2001. Natural Heritage Program database, unpublished.
- Loope, L.L. and A.C. Medeiros. 1992. A new and invasive grass on Maui. Newsletter of the Hawaiian Botanical Society 31: 7-8.
- Loope, L., F. Starr and K. Starr. 2004. Management and research for protecting endangered Hawaiian plant species from displacement by invasive plants on Maui, Hawaii. Weed Technology 18: 1472-1474.
- Medeiros, A.C., L.L. Loope, P. Conant and S. McElvaney. 1997. Status, ecology, and management of the invasive plant, *Miconia calvescens* DC (Melastomataceae) in the Hawaiian Islands. Bishop Mus. Occas. Pap. 48: 23-36.
- Medeiros, A.C., L.L. Loope, T. Flynn, S.J. Anderson, L.W. Cuddihy, and K.A. Wilson. 1992. Notes on the status of an invasive Australian tree fern (*Cyathea cooperi*) in Hawaiian rain forests. American Fern Journal 82: 27-33.
- Meyer, J.-Y. and J. Florence. 1996. Tahiti's native flora endangered by the invasion of *Miconia calvescens* D.C. (Melastomataceae). Journal of Biogeography 23: 775-781.
- Robichaux, R., J. Canfield, F. R. Warshauer, L. Perry, M. Bruegmann, and G. Carr. 1998. Adaptive Radiation. Endangered Species Bulletin. November/December.
- Scott, J.M., S. Mountainspring, F.L. Ramsey, and C.B. Kepler. 1986. Forest bird communities

- of the Hawaiian Islands: Their dynamics, ecology, and conservation. Studies in Avian Biology 9:1-429. Cooper Ornithological Society, Los Angeles.
- Smathers, G.A. and D.E. Gardner. 1978. Stand analysis of an invading firetree (*Myrica faya* Aiton) population, Hawai`i. Proceeding of the Second Conference on Natural Science, Hawaii Volcanoes National Park, pp. 274-288.
- Smith, C.W. 1985. Impact of alien plants on Hawai`I's native biota: <u>in Stone, C.P., and J.M. Scott (eds.)</u>, Hawai`I's terrestrial ecosystems: preservation and management. Coop. Natl. Park Resources Stud. Unit, Univ. Hawaii, Honolulu, pp. 180-250.
- Stone, C.P. 1985. Alien animals in Hawai`I's native ecosystems: toward controlling the adverse effects of introduced vertebrates: <u>in</u> Stone, C.P., and J.M. Scott (eds.), Hawai'i's terrestrial ecosystems: preservation and management. Coop. Natl. Park Resources Stud. Unit, Univ. Hawaii, Honolulu, pp. 251-297.
- Tomich, P.Q. 1986. Mammals in Hawai'I; a synopsis and notational bibliography. Bishop Museum Press, Honolulu. 375 pp.
- van Riper, S.G., and C. van Riper III. 1982. A field guide to the mammals in Hawaii. The Oriental Publishing Company, Honolulu. 68 pp.
- Vitousek, P.M., C.M. D'Antonio, L.L. Loope, M. Rejnanek, and R. Westerbrooks. 1997. Introduced species: a significant component of human-caused global change. New Zealand Journal of Ecology 21(1): 1-16.
- Wagner, W.L., D.R. Herbst, and S.H. Sohmer. 1999a. Manual of the Flowering Plants of Hawai'i, Bishop Mus. Spec. Publ. 97:1-1918. University of Hawaii Press and Bishop Museum Press, Honolulu.
- Wagner, W.L., M.M. Bruegmann, and J.Q.C. Lau. 1999b. Hawaiian vascular plants at risk: 1999. Bishop Mus. Occas. Pap. 60: 1-58.
- Wagner, W.L. and D.R. Herbst. 2003. Electronic supplement to the manual of flowering plants of Hawai'i, version 3.1. December 12, 2003. Available from the Internet. URL: http://rathbun.si.edu/botany/pacificislandbiodiversity/hawaiianflora/supplement.htm.
- Wenkam, R. 1969. Kauai and the park country of Hawaii. Sierra Club, San Francisco, 160 pp. Wood, K.R. and S. Perlman. 1997. Maui 14 plant survey final report. Submitted by National Tropical Botanical Garden, October, 1997.

APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE: Lead Regions must obtain written concurrence from all other Regions within the range of the species before recommending changes to the candidate list, including listing priority changes; the Regional Director must approve all such recommendations. The Director must concur on all 12-month petition findings, additions of species to the candidate list, removal of candidate species, and listing priority changes.

Approve:	Regional Director, Fish and Wildlif	II to es
A	Regional Director, Fish and Wildhi	e service Date
	Mauhaup Jones Je	
Concur:	Director, Fish and Wildlife Service	August 23, 2006 Date
Do not concur	:	Date
	l review: <u>September 20, 2005</u> Marie M. Bruegmann, Pacific Island Plant Recovery Coordinator	ds FWO
Comments: PIFWO Revie	<u>w</u>	
Reviewed by:	<u>Christa Russell</u> Plant Conservation Program Leader	Date: September 27, 2005
	Gina Shultz Assistant Field Supervisor, Endangered Species	Date: October 14, 2005
	Patrick Leonard Field Supervisor	Date: October 14, 2005